# Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh: A Comparative Study of Eastern Region and Western Region

#### KAUSHLENDRA VIKRAM MISHRA

Associate Professor & Head Department of Economics, Sri Gandhi P.G. College (V.B.S.Purvanchal University, Jaunpur) Maltari, Azamgarh (U.P.) India

# ABSTRACT

Statistics reveal that in India, males significantly outnumber females and this imbalance has increased over time. According to 2011 census, report the sex ratio stands at 940 per 1000 males. Women face discrimination right from the childhood. In childhood and adulthood, males are fed first and better. The tradition also requires that women eat last and least throughout their lives even when pregnant and lactating. Malnourished women give birth to malnourished children. Women receive less healthcare facilities than men. Many women in rural areas die in childbirth due to easily preventable complications. According to census 2011, Uttar Pradesh has huge population (199581477 persons) and continues to be the most populous state in the country. Here in Uttar Pradesh the birth of the male is celebrated, whereas the birth of a female filled with pain. Sons are showered with love, respect, better food and proper health care. Males are promoted to be tough and outgoing while females are encouraged to be homely and shy. All these differences are gender differences and they are created by our society. In the present study, an attempt has been made to examine the Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh. Region

Key Words: Regional disparity, Eastern region, Western region, Work participation rate, Sex ratio

### **INTRODUCTION**

According to census 2011, Uttar Pradesh has huge population (199581477 persons) and continues to be the most populous state in the country. Here in Uttar Pradesh the birth of the male is celebrated, whereas the birth of a female filled with pain. Sons are showered with love, respect, better food and proper health care. Males are promoted to be tough and outgoing while females are encouraged to be homely and shy. All these differences are gender differences and they are created by our society.

#### **Review of Literature:**

Barro and Lee (1994) use a panel data set of 138 countries to examine the empirical determinants of growth, including measures for both male and female schooling. In what they see as a "puzzling finding", female education is negatively correlated with growth. Barro and Lee attribute this to a sign of "backwardness" in the society, where gender differences are picking up on aspects of undeveloped countries that may not have been captured with an initial GDP variable. Therefore, such less developed countries may experience higher growth rates due to a convergence mechanism.

Psacharopoulos (1994) finds that returns to female education are positive and higher than, their male counterparts. This micro literature also points to indirect benefits from gender equality.

Quibria (1995) "Gender and Poverty: Issues and Policies with Special Reference to Asian Developing Countries." has studied and found that across the globe, women are less educated and receive worse healthcare than their male counterparts receive.

How to cite this Article: Mishra, Kaushlendra Vikram (2019). Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh: A Comparative Study of Eastern Region and Western Region. *Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci.*, **6** (6) : 1519-1522.

Bils and Klenow (1998) assert that it is not education that leads to growth, but growth that leads to education. As has been shown in past studies, returns to education increase substantially as an economy becomes more developed.

Behrman *et al.* (1999) find that children of more literate mothers in India study nearly two more hours a night. In addition, gender inequality has been shown to influence a number of development related goals, such as lower fertility rates, higher education rates, and better child health.

Seguino (2000a; 2000b) has studied and found that in a sample of export-oriented Asian nations, higher rates of growth are actually correlated with higher rates of gender inequality. She attributes this to the ability of firms to pay female labor less than males without fear of backlash or revolution, thus spurring investment.

Sen Amartya (2001), in his essay "Many Faces of Gender Inequality", opined that there is need to take a plural view of gender inequality, which can have many different faces. The prominent faces of gender injustice can vary from one region to another, and also from one period to the next. He further described that the Gender inequality hurts the interests not only of girls and grownup women, but also of boys and men, through biological connections (such as childhood undernourishment and cardiovascular diseases at later ages) and also through societal connections (including in politics and in economic and social life).

Esteve-Volart (2004) finds that when studying different states in India, those with higher rates of gender discrimination exhibit lower growth rates compared to others. However, do these concerns impact the growth of the country.

Awoyemi and Adetola (2006) have examined the effect that gender inequality in employment has in rural cassava farm holdings in southwest Nigeria, and found that increased gender inequality decreases productive efficiency.

Quentin (2008), "The Effect of Gender Inequality on Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study" has studied and found that an under investment in women's education has a negative effect on growth.

#### **Objective of the study:**

The main objective of the study is-

To Highlight the Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh.

## METHODOLOGY

This study is based on secondary data source. The data are collected from Census of India and Department of Statistics. The time series and cross sectional data are collected for 71 districts of Uttar Pradesh.

#### Limitations of the study:

Doing research on Sex-Ratio is very complicated in nature because society has traditional as well as modern characteristics simultaneously. Moreover, the secondary data source has its own limitations. In spite of the above difficulties, an attempt is made here to bring out information and analyze it with all care.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Meaning of sex-ratio:

Sex ratio is the simple way to understand gender inequality. Generally, if the sex ratio of any society is high it means gender equality otherwise, it seems gender inequality. Statistics reveal that in India, males significantly outnumber females and this imbalance has increased over time. According to 2011 census, report the sex ratio stands at 940 per 1000 males. Out of the total population, 180 million are women who live in abject poverty. The maternal mortality rate in rural areas is among the world's highest. The deaths of young girls in India exceed those of young boys by over 300,000 each year and every 6th infant death is specifically due to gender discrimination.

#### Regional disparity of sex ratio in Uttar Pradesh:

It would be quite useful to analyze sex ratio in different regions of Uttar Pradesh and compare it with not only each other but national average as well. Uttar Pradesh has 4 regions, namely Eastern Region, Western Region, Central Region and Bundelkhand Region. We have taken Eastern Region and Western Region, for comparison of the Sex Ratio.

# Sex ratio in Eastern Region of Uttar Pradesh (1951-2011):

It can be observed from the Table 1 that as far as eastern region of Uttar Pradesh is concern it consists of 27 districts. Most of the districts are backward in nature. However, this eastern reign of Uttar Pradesh is having better Sex-Ratio compared to other region in Uttar Pradesh.

In 1951, the average of 27 districts is 976 per

thousand, which is highest in U.P. Moreover, few districts of this region mainly, Baliya (1041) Pratapgarh (1039), Deoria (1031), Azamgarh (1024), Jaunpur (1024), SantRavidasNagar / Bhadohi (1022), Mau (1001) and Gajipur (1000) have more than thousand Sex-Ratio. These districts are not only highest in all regions of Uttar Pradesh but also National too. However, this bright picture of Sex Ratio has diminished in 2001, where the average of 27 districts declined to 941 per thousand.

Due to awareness of society and Government incentives this declining situation of Sex Ratio has changed in 2011 and significantly increased up to 947 per thousand, which is not only greater than U.P's Average Sex-Ratio (908) but also National average of 936 per thousand.

| Table 1 : Sex Ratio in<br>(1951-2011)        | Eastern Re | gion of Utta | ar Pradesh |  |  |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| Districts of Eastern Region of Uttar Pradesh |            |              |            |  |  |
| Sex-Ratio 1951-2011                          |            |              |            |  |  |
| (In 1951 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908)      |            |              |            |  |  |
| (In 2001 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 898)      |            |              |            |  |  |
| (In 2011 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908)      |            |              |            |  |  |
| (In 2011 India's Average Sex-Ratio : 936)    |            |              |            |  |  |
| Districts                                    | 1051       | Year         | 2011       |  |  |
|  | 1951       | 2001         | 2011       |  |  |
| Pratapgarh                                   | 1039       | 1004         | 994        |  |  |
| Kaushambi                                    | 958        | 895          | 905        |  |  |
| Allahabad                                    | 943        | 879          | 902        |  |  |
| Faizabad                                     | 961        | 939          | 961        |  |  |
| Ambedkar Nagar                               | 974        | 978          | 976        |  |  |
| Sultanpur                                    | 998        | 980          | 978        |  |  |
| Bahraich                                     | 903        | 868          | 891        |  |  |
| Shrawasti                                    | 917        | 857          | 875        |  |  |
| Balrampur                                    | 931        | 895          | 922        |  |  |
| Gonda  | 931        | 906          | 922        |  |  |
| Siddharth Nagar                              | 965        | 948          | 970        |  |  |
| Basti  | 945        | 938          | 959        |  |  |
| Sant Kabir Nagar                             | 964        | 974          | 969        |  |  |
| Mahrajganj                                   | 964        | 934          | 938        |  |  |
| Gorakhpur                                    | 996        | 960          | 944        |  |  |
| Kushi Nagar                                  | 975        | 963          | 955        |  |  |
| Deoria                                       | 1031       | 1002         | 1013       |  |  |
| Azamgarh                                     | 1024       | 1020         | 1017       |  |  |
| Mau  | 1001       | 986          | 978        |  |  |
| Ballia                                       | 1041       | 953          | 933        |  |  |
| Jaunpur                                      | 1024       | 1014         | 1018       |  |  |
| Gazipur                                      | 1000       | 976          | 951        |  |  |
| Chandauli                                    | 971        | 922          | 913        |  |  |
| Varanasi                                     | 923        | 903          | 909        |  |  |
| Sant Ravidas Nagar                           | 1022       | 917          | 950        |  |  |
| Mirzapur                                     | 985        | 897          | 900        |  |  |
| Sonbhadra                                    | 966        | 899          | 913        |  |  |
| Average of 27 Districts                      | 976        | 941          | 947        |  |  |

Sex Ratio in Western Region of Uttar Pradesh (1951-2011):

It can be clearly observed from the Table 1 that as far as western region of Uttar Pradesh is concern it also consists of 27 districts. In this reign most of the districts are forward in nature. However, this western reign of Uttar Pradesh is having worse Sex-Ratio compared to other region in Uttar Pradesh. In 1951, the average of 27 districts is 859 per thousand, which is lowest in U.P. Moreover, few districts of this region mainly, Meerut (825), Shahjhapur (825), Shahjhapur (825), Auraiya (835), Baghpat (836), Etawah (844), Budaun(844), Bareilly (844), Mathura (846), Agra (847), Firozabad (848) and Ghaziabad (854), have very low Sex-Ratio. These

| Table 2 : Sex Ratio in Wes   | tern Regio | n of Utta | r Pradesh |  |  |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| (1951-2011)  |            |           |           |  |  |
| Districts of Western Region of Uttar Pradesh   |            |           |           |  |  |
| Sex-Ratio 1951-2011  |            |           |           |  |  |
| (In 1951 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908)  |            |           |           |  |  |
| (In 2001 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 898)<br>(In 2011 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908)   |            |           |           |  |  |
| (In 2011 U.F's Average Sex-Ratio : 936)<br>(In 2011 India's Average Sex-Ratio : 936) |            |           |           |  |  |
| Districts  | inge sen i | Year      |           |  |  |
|  | 1951       | 2001      | 2011      |  |  |
| Saharanpur   | 928        | 865       | 887       |  |  |
| Muzaffarnagar  | 926        | 871       | 886       |  |  |
| Moradabad  | 860        | 875       | 903       |  |  |
| Rampur   | 863        | 879       | 905       |  |  |
| Meerut   | 825        | 872       | 885       |  |  |
| Baghpat  | 836        | 847       | 858       |  |  |
| Ghaziabad  | 854        | 860       | 878       |  |  |
| Gautam Budha Nagar   | 875        | 839       | 852       |  |  |
| Bulandshahar   | 883        | 879       | 892       |  |  |
| Aligarh  | 860        | 862       | 876       |  |  |
| Mathura  | 846        | 840       | 858       |  |  |
| Agra   | 847        | 846       | 859       |  |  |
| Firozabad  | 848        | 851       | 867       |  |  |
| Mahamaya Nagar   | 852        | 858       | 870       |  |  |
| Bijnor   | 886        | 896       | 913       |  |  |
| Jyotiba phule Nagar  | 879        | 885       | 907       |  |  |
| Auraiya  | 835        | 856       | 864       |  |  |
| Farrukhabad  | 842        | 848       | 874       |  |  |
| Knnauj   | 833        | 866       | 879       |  |  |
| Etawah   | 844        | 858       | 867       |  |  |
| Etah   | 872        | 850       | 863       |  |  |
| Mainpuri   | 865        | 857       | 876       |  |  |
| Budaun   | 844        | 842       | 859       |  |  |
| Bareilly   | 844        | 871       | 833       |  |  |
| Pilibhit   | 857        | 877       | 889       |  |  |
| Shahjhapur   | 825        | 841       | 865       |  |  |
| Kashiram Nagar (Kasganj)   | 867        | 849       | 879       |  |  |
| Average of 27 Districts  | 859        | 861       | 876       |  |  |

Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci. | June, 2019 | 6 (6)

districts are not only lowest in all regions of Uttar Pradesh but also National too. However, this worst situation of Sex Ratio has slightly increased in 2001, where the average of 27 districts declined to 861 per thousand.

Due to awareness of society and Government incentives this increment of Sex Ratio has further improved in 2011 and increased up to 876 per thousand. However, this is not only lower than U.P's Average Sex-Ratio (908) but also National average of 936 per thousand.

### Eastern Region vs. Western Region:

This is quite interesting to compare Sex Ratio of Eastern Region and Western Region of Uttar Pradesh. Since 1951 the average Sex Ratio of Eastern Region (976 in 1951), (941 in 2001) and (947 in 2011) is greater than the average Sex Ratio of Western Region (859 in 1951), (861 in 2001) and (876 in 2011). Hence, in the case of Sex Ratio, it is clearly evident that Eastern Uttar Pradesh is significantly better than Western Uttar Pradesh.

### **Conclusion:**

From the above discussion it can be concluded that since 1951 the average Sex Ratio of Eastern Region (976 in 1951), (941 in 2001) and (947 in 2011) is greater than the average Sex Ratio of Western Region (859 in 1951), (861 in 2001) and (876 in 2011).

Hence, in the case of Sex Ratio, it is clearly evident that Eastern Uttar Pradesh is significantly better than Western Uttar Pradesh.

# REFERENCES

Awoyemi, Taiwo and Adetola I. Adeoti (2006). Gender

Inequalities and Economic Efficiency: New Evidence from Cassava-Based Farm Holdings in Rural South-Western Nigeria. *African Development Review*, **18** (3) : 428-443.

- Barro, Robert and Jong-wha Lee (1994). International Comparisons of Educational Attainment. J. Monetary Economics, **32** (3): 363-394.
- Behrman, Jere, Andrew D. Foster, Mark R Rosenzweig, and Prem Vashishtha (1999). Women's Schooling, Home Teaching, and Economic Growth. *J. Political Economy*, **107** (4): 682-714.
- Bils, Mark and Peter, J. Klenow (1998). Does Schooling Cause Growth or the Other Way Around? *NBER Working Paper* 6393.
- Esteve-Volart, Berta (2004). Sex Discrimination and Growth. *IMF Working Paper*, April 2000. Gender discrimination and growth: Theory and evidence from India. *Suntory and Toyota International Centres for Economics and Related Disciplines, LSE, STICERD - Development Economics Papers*, 2004.
- Psacharopoulos, George (1994). Returns to Investment in Education: A Global Update. *World Development*, **22** (9) : 1325-1343.
- Quibria, M.G. (1995). Gender and Poverty: Issues and Policies with Special Reference to Asian Developing Countries. *J. Economic Surveys*, **9** (4) : 373-411.
- Quentin Brummet (2008). The Effect of Gender Inequality on Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study. *The Park Place Economist*, **22** (XVI): 20-21.
- Seguino, Stephanie (2000a; 2000b). Gender Inequality and Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Analysis. *World Development*, **28** (7): 1211-1230.
- Sen, Amartya (2001). Many Faces of Gender Inequality, Frontline, India's National Magazine, **18** (22,): 1-17.

\*\*\*\*\*