Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh: A Comparative Study of Eastern Region and Western Region

KAUSHLENDRA VIKRAM MISHRA

Associate Professor & Head Department of Economics, Sri Gandhi P.G. College (V.B.S.Purvanchal University, Jaunpur) Maltari, Azamgarh (U.P.) India

ABSTRACT

Statistics reveal that in India, males significantly outnumber females and this imbalance has increased over time. According to 2011 census, report the sex ratio stands at 940 per 1000 males. Women face discrimination right from the childhood. In childhood and adulthood, males are fed first and better. The tradition also requires that women eat last and least throughout their lives even when pregnant and lactating. Malnourished women give birth to malnourished children. Women receive less healthcare facilities than men. Many women in rural areas die in childbirth due to easily preventable complications. According to census 2011, Uttar Pradesh has huge population (199581477 persons) and continues to be the most populous state in the country. Here in Uttar Pradesh the birth of the male is celebrated, whereas the birth of a female filled with pain. Sons are showered with love, respect, better food and proper health care. Males are promoted to be tough and outgoing while females are encouraged to be homely and shy. All these differences are gender differences and they are created by our society. In the present study, an attempt has been made to examine the Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh. Region

Key Words : Regional disparity, Eastern region, Western region, Work participation rate, Sex ratio

INTRODUCTION

According to census 2011, Uttar Pradesh has huge population (199581477 persons) and continues to be the most populous state in the country. Here in Uttar Pradesh the birth of the male is celebrated, whereas the birth of a female filled with pain. Sons are showered with love, respect, better food and proper health care. Males are promoted to be tough and outgoing while females are encouraged to be homely and shy. All these differences are gender differences and they are created by our society.

Review of Literature:

Barro and Lee (1994) use a panel data set of 138 countries to examine the empirical determinants of growth, including measures for both male and female schooling. In what they see as a "puzzling finding", female education is negatively correlated with growth. Barro and Lee attribute this to a sign of "backwardness" in the society, where gender differences are picking up on aspects of undeveloped countries that may not have been captured with an initial GDP variable. Therefore, such less developed countries may experience higher growth rates due to a convergence mechanism.

Psacharopoulos (1994) finds that returns to female education are positive and higher than, their male counterparts. This micro literature also points to indirect benefits from gender equality.

Quibria (1995) "Gender and Poverty: Issues and Policies with Special Reference to Asian Developing Countries." has studied and found that across the globe, women are less educated and receive worse healthcare than their male counterparts receive.

How to cite this Article: Mishra, Kaushlendra Vikram (2019). Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh: A Comparative Study of Eastern Region and Western Region. *Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci.*, **6** (6) : 1519-1522.

Bils and Klenow (1998) assert that it is not education that leads to growth, but growth that leads to education. As has been shown in past studies, returns to education increase substantially as an economy becomes more developed.

Behrman *et al.* (1999) find that children of more literate mothers in India study nearly two more hours a night. In addition, gender inequality has been shown to influence a number of development related goals, such as lower fertility rates, higher education rates, and better child health.

Seguino (2000a; 2000b) has studied and found that in a sample of export-oriented Asian nations, higher rates of growth are actually correlated with higher rates of gender inequality. She attributes this to the ability of firms to pay female labor less than males without fear of backlash or revolution, thus spurring investment.

Sen Amartya (2001), in his essay "Many Faces of Gender Inequality", opined that there is need to take a plural view of gender inequality, which can have many different faces. The prominent faces of gender injustice can vary from one region to another, and also from one period to the next. He further described that the Gender inequality hurts the interests not only of girls and grownup women, but also of boys and men, through biological connections (such as childhood undernourishment and cardiovascular diseases at later ages) and also through societal connections (including in politics and in economic and social life).

Esteve-Volart (2004) finds that when studying different states in India, those with higher rates of gender discrimination exhibit lower growth rates compared to others. However, do these concerns impact the growth of the country.

Awoyemi and Adetola (2006) have examined the effect that gender inequality in employment has in rural cassava farm holdings in southwest Nigeria, and found that increased gender inequality decreases productive efficiency.

Quentin (2008), "The Effect of Gender Inequality on Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study" has studied and found that an under investment in women's education has a negative effect on growth.

Objective of the study:

The main objective of the study is-

To Highlight the Regional Disparity of Sex-Ratio in Uttar Pradesh.

METHODOLOGY

This study is based on secondary data source. The data are collected from Census of India and Department of Statistics. The time series and cross sectional data are collected for 71 districts of Uttar Pradesh.

Limitations of the study:

Doing research on Sex-Ratio is very complicated in nature because society has traditional as well as modern characteristics simultaneously. Moreover, the secondary data source has its own limitations. In spite of the above difficulties, an attempt is made here to bring out information and analyze it with all care.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Meaning of sex-ratio:

Sex ratio is the simple way to understand gender inequality. Generally, if the sex ratio of any society is high it means gender equality otherwise, it seems gender inequality. Statistics reveal that in India, males significantly outnumber females and this imbalance has increased over time. According to 2011 census, report the sex ratio stands at 940 per 1000 males. Out of the total population, 180 million are women who live in abject poverty. The maternal mortality rate in rural areas is among the world's highest. The deaths of young girls in India exceed those of young boys by over 300,000 each year and every 6th infant death is specifically due to gender discrimination.

Regional disparity of sex ratio in Uttar Pradesh:

It would be quite useful to analyze sex ratio in different regions of Uttar Pradesh and compare it with not only each other but national average as well. Uttar Pradesh has 4 regions, namely Eastern Region, Western Region, Central Region and Bundelkhand Region. We have taken Eastern Region and Western Region, for comparison of the Sex Ratio.

Sex ratio in Eastern Region of Uttar Pradesh (1951-2011):

It can be observed from the Table 1 that as far as eastern region of Uttar Pradesh is concern it consists of 27 districts. Most of the districts are backward in nature. However, this eastern reign of Uttar Pradesh is having better Sex-Ratio compared to other region in Uttar Pradesh.

In 1951, the average of 27 districts is 976 per

Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci. | June, 2019 | 6 (6)

(1520)

thousand, which is highest in U.P. Moreover, few districts of this region mainly, Baliya (1041) Pratapgarh (1039), Deoria (1031), Azamgarh (1024), Jaunpur (1024), SantRavidasNagar / Bhadohi (1022), Mau (1001) and Gajipur (1000) have more than thousand Sex-Ratio. These districts are not only highest in all regions of Uttar Pradesh but also National too. However, this bright picture of Sex Ratio has diminished in 2001, where the average of 27 districts declined to 941 per thousand.

Due to awareness of society and Government incentives this declining situation of Sex Ratio has changed in 2011 and significantly increased up to 947 per thousand, which is not only greater than U.P's Average Sex-Ratio (908) but also National average of 936 per thousand.

Table 1 : Sex Ratio in (1951-2011)	n Eastern R	egion of Utta	r Pradesh		
Districts of Eastern Region of Uttar Pradesh					
Sex-Ratio 1951-2011					
(In 1951 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908)					
(In 2001 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 898)					
(In 2011 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908) (In 2011 India's Average Sex Patio : 036)					
Districts Vear					
Districts	1951	2001	2011		
Pratapgarh	1039	1004	994		
Kaushambi	958	895	905		
Allahabad	943	879	902		
Faizabad	961	939	961		
Ambedkar Nagar	974	978	976		
Sultanpur	998	980	978		
Bahraich	903	868	891		
Shrawasti	917	857	875		
Balrampur	931	895	922		
Gonda	931	906	922		
Siddharth Nagar	965	948	970		
Basti	945	938	959		
Sant Kabir Nagar	964	974	969		
Mahrajganj	964	934	938		
Gorakhpur	996	960	944		
Kushi Nagar	975	963	955		
Deoria	1031	1002	1013		
Azamgarh	1024	1020	1017		
Mau	1001	986	978		
Ballia	1041	953	933		
Jaunpur	1024	1014	1018		
Gazipur	1000	976	951		
Chandauli	971	922	913		
Varanasi	923	903	909		
Sant Ravidas Nagar	1022	917	950		
Mirzapur	985	897	900		
Sonbhadra 966 899 913					
Average of 27 Districts	976	941	947		

Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci. | June, 2019 | 6 (6)

Sex Ratio in Western Region of Uttar Pradesh (1951-2011):

It can be clearly observed from the Table 1 that as far as western region of Uttar Pradesh is concern it also consists of 27 districts. In this reign most of the districts are forward in nature. However, this western reign of Uttar Pradesh is having worse Sex-Ratio compared to other region in Uttar Pradesh. In 1951, the average of 27 districts is 859 per thousand, which is lowest in U.P. Moreover, few districts of this region mainly, Meerut (825), Shahjhapur (825), Shahjhapur (825), Auraiya (835), Baghpat (836), Etawah (844), Budaun(844), Bareilly (844), Mathura (846), Agra (847), Firozabad (848) and Ghaziabad (854), have very low Sex-Ratio. These

Table 2 : Sex Ratio in Western Region of Uttar Pradesh (1951-2011)						
Districts of Western Region of Uttar Pradesh						
Sex-Ratio 1951-2011						
(In 1951 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908)						
(In 2001 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 898)						
(In 2011 U.P's Average Sex-Ratio : 908) (In 2011 India's Average Sex Patio : 926)						
Districts	Vear					
	1951	2001	2011			
Saharanpur	928	865	887			
Muzaffarnagar	926	871	886			
Moradabad	860	875	903			
Rampur	863	879	905			
Meerut	825	872	885			
Baghpat	836	847	858			
Ghaziabad	854	860	878			
Gautam Budha Nagar	875	839	852			
Bulandshahar	883	879	892			
Aligarh	860	862	876			
Mathura	846	840	858			
Agra	847	846	859			
Firozabad	848	851	867			
Mahamaya Nagar	852	858	870			
Bijnor	886	896	913			
Jyotiba phule Nagar	879	885	907			
Auraiya	835	856	864			
Farrukhabad	842	848	874			
Knnauj	833	866	879			
Etawah	844	858	867			
Etah	872	850	863			
Mainpuri	865	857	876			
Budaun	844	842	859			
Bareilly	844	871	833			
Pilibhit	857	877	889			
Shahjhapur	825	841	865			
Kashiram Nagar (Kasganj)	867	849	879			
Average of 27 Districts	859	861	876			

(1521)

districts are not only lowest in all regions of Uttar Pradesh but also National too. However, this worst situation of Sex Ratio has slightly increased in 2001, where the average of 27 districts declined to 861 per thousand.

Due to awareness of society and Government incentives this increment of Sex Ratio has further improved in 2011 and increased up to 876 per thousand. However, this is not only lower than U.P's Average Sex-Ratio (908) but also National average of 936 per thousand.

Eastern Region vs. Western Region:

This is quite interesting to compare Sex Ratio of Eastern Region and Western Region of Uttar Pradesh. Since 1951 the average Sex Ratio of Eastern Region (976 in 1951), (941 in 2001) and (947 in 2011) is greater than the average Sex Ratio of Western Region (859 in 1951), (861 in 2001) and (876 in 2011). Hence, in the case of Sex Ratio, it is clearly evident that Eastern Uttar Pradesh is significantly better than Western Uttar Pradesh.

Conclusion:

From the above discussion it can be concluded that since 1951 the average Sex Ratio of Eastern Region (976 in 1951), (941 in 2001) and (947 in 2011) is greater than the average Sex Ratio of Western Region (859 in 1951), (861 in 2001) and (876 in 2011).

Hence, in the case of Sex Ratio, it is clearly evident that Eastern Uttar Pradesh is significantly better than Western Uttar Pradesh.

REFERENCES

Awoyemi, Taiwo and Adetola I. Adeoti (2006). Gender

Inequalities and Economic Efficiency: New Evidence from Cassava-Based Farm Holdings in Rural South-Western Nigeria. *African Development Review*, **18** (3): 428-443.

- Barro, Robert and Jong-wha Lee (1994). International Comparisons of Educational Attainment. J. Monetary Economics, **32** (3): 363-394.
- Behrman, Jere, Andrew D. Foster, Mark R Rosenzweig, and Prem Vashishtha (1999). Women's Schooling, Home Teaching, and Economic Growth. J. Political Economy, 107 (4): 682-714.
- Bils, Mark and Peter, J. Klenow (1998). Does Schooling Cause Growth or the Other Way Around? *NBER Working Paper* 6393.
- Esteve-Volart, Berta (2004). Sex Discrimination and Growth. *IMF Working Paper*, April 2000. Gender discrimination and growth: Theory and evidence from India. *Suntory and Toyota International Centres for Economics and Related Disciplines, LSE, STICERD - Development Economics Papers*, 2004.
- Psacharopoulos, George (1994). Returns to Investment in Education: A Global Update. *World Development*, **22** (9) :1325-1343.
- Quibria, M.G. (1995). Gender and Poverty: Issues and Policies with Special Reference to Asian Developing Countries. *J. Economic Surveys*, **9** (4): 373-411.
- Quentin Brummet (2008). The Effect of Gender Inequality on Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study. *The Park Place Economist*, **22** (XVI): 20-21.
- Seguino, Stephanie (2000a; 2000b). Gender Inequality and Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Analysis. *World Development*, **28** (7): 1211-1230.
- Sen, Amartya (2001). Many Faces of Gender Inequality, Frontline, India's National Magazine, **18** (22,): 1-17.
