

Parliamentary vs Presidential Form of Government

BALAKRUSHNA POLAI
L.L.M., Ex-Guest Faculty
Lingaraj Law College, Berhampur (Odisha) India

Key Words : Parliamentary, Debatable topic, Presidential form

It is a debatable topic all over world that which type of system is better then the other and many Jurist and Philosophers are given different opinion whether parliamentary form of Government is better than presidential form of Government. But till today no accurate decision come off from the debate, However some country prefer parliamentary form of Government like India and some country prefer presidential form of Government like U.S.A..

Advantage over presidential form Government:

1. In presidential form of Government, the president elected directly by the people for a fixed term and will be functions the nations head without hampered by the politicians in taking administrative decisions and also advantages of choosing his ministerial team, those who have talent,

2. In presidential form of Government president will be chief executive and free from sectional and party disputes and due to stable Government the president taken suitable decisions in policy matter and also give time for development of the country.

3. In presidential form of Government the president is free to choose the talented ministers without any pressure and pattern and allotted them portfolio according to their talent, integrity and honesty.

Disadvantage over presidential form of Government:

1. In presidential form of Government the president is the real head and also the policy decisions made by him and due to absence of cabinet the president's

decision may be wrong and it affect to the nation.

2. In presidential form of Government the president is like one man army means most of or important policy decisions made by president so there may be a chance use of monopoly power by the president.

3. In presidential form of Government the president is not elected directly from the public and public have also no choice to elect their leader and there is no direct link between the president and the public like Parliamentary form of Government.

Advantages of parliamentary form of Government:

1. In presidential form of Government the leaders are elected by the people directly and they responsible to the people as well as Government. The Government is subjected to security in the legislature as regards its achievements and failures. The ministers are accountable to the legislature.

2. In parliamentary form of Government, The Prime Minister who enjoys two third majority in parliament is much more powerful than the president.

3. In parliamentary form of Government discourages the disease of defections and maintains discipline among the members of a political party.

Disadvantage of parliamentary form of Government:

Multiplicity of political parties:

Due to existence of many political parties, The Government has unable to function properly and faced so many problems for formation of Government and a

number of Government has fallen due to multiplicity of political parties in 1979, 1989 the Janata party Government in 1998 the Sir Atal Bihari Government fallendown due to multiplicity political party. Some state Government also dissolved due to multiplicity of parties.

Evil of Defunction:

The 52 Amendment in 1977 tenth schedule of the constitution added that is anti defection laws, which provides that if a member of the legislative party voluntarily leaves his party or votes against with of the party or nominated member joins other party within Six months from election, he will loss his membership of the legislation, but if the third of total member leaves and joins of their party the tenth schedule couldn't affect them. Before 1977 a member of elected Government dropped due to some power-hungry politician.

Unawareness and illiteracy of voters:

Most of voters of the country are illiteracy and unawareness about the election system and procedure. They easily cost their valuable vote influenced by caste religion and money. Till now most of the states in India winning the election using of caste politic and influenced by money and wine.

Growth of regional parties:

Due to Growth of regional parties arousing parochial and provisional feelings have also weekend the functionary of parliamentary system of Government. The regional parties are demanded more unnecessary things and they are also not hesitate to threaten the unity and integrity of the nation.

Criminalisation of politics:

Criminalisation in parties also one of the major issue

new days. Most of the political parties/leaders have criminal record and politicians obtained tickets from their parties by hook or cook and the criminal politician doing all type of illegal acts for winning the election like both capturing, bogus voting, riot, hurt, murdering threatening during the election period which affect the fair and free election.

Conclusion:

In India the parliamentary form of Government established since independence. The framers of the constitution also preferred the parliamentary system of Government mainly for two reasons because the system was already in existence in India and people were well acquainted with it and also it provides accountability of minister to the legislature.

However the parliamentary form of Government in India has been failed due to many reasons.

It is suitable time for India politicians for growth and development of the country to be needed an another constitutional amendment that is two party system instead of multi party system in India. Not it is not an important time for discussion which type of Government is better than the other type of Government, it is a time for discussion that how the Government will functioning with the present constitution of India for betterment and development of the country from every corner like, eradication of unemployment, focusing most important sector like, health, education and agriculture.

REFERENCES

- Constitutional Law of India
- Internet
- Print Media
