International Journal of Applied Social Science
(An International Double Blind Peer Reviewed / Refereed Research Journal of Social Science)
Volume 10 (9 & 10), September & October (2023) : 598-603
Received : 24.08.2023; Revised : 10.09.2023; Accepted : 24.09.2023

RESEARCH ARTICLE
ISSN : 2394-1405 (Print)
DOI: 10.36537/IJASS/10.9&10/598-603

A Tale of Two Nations: The Partition of India and Pakistan

BALWINDER KAUR
Assistant Professor
Baba Kundan Singh College, Muhar (Punjab) India

ABSTRACT

Many Indian and Pakistani artists were moved by the deadly riots that followed the partition of India to depict the event in literature and film. Some works focused on the atrocities that occurred during the flight of refugees, while others examined the challenges that refugees on both sides of the border encountered in the years following the division. More than sixty years after the division, the events of partition continue to inspire fiction and cinema. To better understand the social issues and discrimination between the two countries, especially with regards to religion, and how this partition has continued to shape their relations and the broader South Asian landscape, this study will delve into literary and cinematic depictions of this event.

Key Words : Partition, Social issues, Discrimination between two nations, Religion

INTRODUCTION

Since fiction is the most influential literary genre today, it has risen to a prominent position in Indian English literature. Indian English fiction has acquired its situation among the standard of extraordinary works of writing, and it is for the most part concurred that the novel is the best abstract structure for the investigation of encounters and thoughts with regards to our day. Pundits and savants in the Unified Realm and the US have perceived the worth of Indian English books. "One of the most outstanding gifts of English instruction to India is composition fiction for however India was likely a wellspring head of narrating; the novel as far as we might be concerned today was an import from the West," says Teacher M. K. Naik. (99). When an Indian author writes in English, they give India a voice. English writing in India draws heavily from the English literary tradition. Along with its major partners, British and American literature, indo-Anglican literature has made a significant contribution to the global body of writing in English. The English-language writing coming out of India now has its own distinct literary voice. Although the literary renaissance in India had its beginnings in Bengal, its influence quickly spread throughout the country. Raj Mohan's Wife by Bankim Chandra Chatterjee (1864) is considered the first Indian English fiction. Compared to his other Bengali works like Durgesh Nandini and Kopal Kandla, this one is very unique. The first Indian political novel, Anand Math (1884), which inspired the song "Vande Mataram" as the country's national anthem, was inspired by it. Then Barindra Nath Bose brought us the English version of Manoj Basu's Jaljangal, titled The Forest Goddess.

India and Pakistan became two sovereign nations after the British colonial control in South Asia ended with the partition of India and Pakistan in 1947. The religious and political tensions between Hindus and Muslims in the subcontinent led to this separation. On August 15, 1947, India became independent under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi, Jawaharlal Nehru, and Muhammad Ali Jinnah. British India was split in two along religious lines during the partition, which was accompanied by extensive communal bloodshed and the relocation of millions. India developed into a secular, democratic nation-state dominated by the Hindu religion, while Pakistan was founded as a separate Muslim homeland. The political,

How to cite this Article: Kaur, Balwinder (2023). A Tale of Two Nations: The Partition of India and Pakistan. *Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci.,* **10** (9&10) : 598-603.

social, and cultural landscapes of the region were irrevocably altered by this historic split.

Literature Review:

Ahmed (2002). This article aims to give insight on how certain moments in history can lend credibility to the politics of racial, religious, and national hatred. From one perspective, the Partition of India in 1947 was a horrendous peak to an extended time of common slander and dehumanization by Hindu and Muslim enthusiasts. Then again, it was utilized as a model of savage compromise by strict and ethnic devotees as well as the hawkish states of India and Pakistan after freedom. A dysfunctional social and political order is created as a result. I make an effort to illustrate the system's use in the context of domestic policy and the political relationship between India and Pakistan.

Singh and Talbot (2009). In 1947, the English government split and left India. Entire people group were uprooted and awful ruthlessness followed the Partition of India and the foundation of Pakistan. Composed by two specialists in the point, this book subtleties everything from the occasions paving the way to Partition and the fear that followed, to the movement and resettlement that followed. This book addresses a critical takeoff from past deals with the subject, as it looks at the enduring impacts of Partition on the two people and networks, as well as the bigger connection between India and Pakistan and the continuous struggle over challenged locales like Jammu and Kashmir.

Narasimhan-Madhavan (2006). Following the partition of India and the establishment of Pakistan on August 15, 1947, many Muslims and Hindus relocated to their respective new countries. The bloodiest communal violence ever seen in India broke out on this day as well. Extreme violence was committed against women in both countries as a result of the struggle over ownership and honour in female sexuality, which was exacerbated by the dangers to family, religion, national status, and security during the division. As an outrageous illustration of how society sees ladies' sexuality — explicitly, the need to rule and claim her — the sexual savagery that occurred around the hour of the partition of India and Pakistan is enlightening. The viciousness against ladies likewise exhibited how the sexuality of ladies is utilized as an image of force in the orientation relations laid out by the Hindu and Islamic people group in India. To examine these thoughts, this paper will investigate the partition of India and Pakistan as a phase where, because of the force of the circumstance, the crossing point of sexuality and political power was especially articulated.

Talbot (2019). After seven decades of freedom for India and Pakistan, the political and social landscape of South Asia has changed dramatically. However, many aspects can be understood only in light of the lasting effects of the 1947 Partition. This essay explores these legacies through the lenses of ethnic and religious nationalism, state building, and divergent paths toward democratic consolidation. It contends that although modern scholarship has acknowledged the Partition's lasting impact on the lives of refugees and their descendants, explanations of its continued impact on statecraft are less developed. The only way to get a complete picture of domestic events and the ongoing conflict between the two states is to examine such legacies.

Roy-Chaudhury (2009). India and Pakistan, two of South Asia's key enemies, have trouble trusting one another. There are many topics on which the nuclear powers cannot agree, but maybe none is more (apparently) intractable than the fate of Kashmir. As Rahul Roy-Chaudhury points out, however, the presence of nuclear weapons on both sides has likely prevented a full-scale conventional war and even contributed to an improvement in ties between the two nations. India and Pakistan's ability to work together to prevent significant terrorist acts from being carried out by non-state actors is crucial to the future of their relationship.

Fitzpatrick (2019). To divide the colony into the new countries of India and Pakistan, the British government of India held two border commissions in July 1947. There has been a lot written about partition, but the geography of it has mostly been ignored. Second, by stressing the manner in which technical geographical language and boundary setting methods were exploited for the political purpose of claiming territory, some of the themes and theoretical contributions from literature on partition are brought into a geographical framework. This wasn't just because geographers were ignored; it was also because the issue of overlapping but ideologically distinct imagined territorial homelands was beyond the scope of what geographical knowledge and analysis could supply.

Butt (2017, December). This examination, fixated on the subject of savagery in South Asian history and culture, takes a gander at the partition of India from both verifiable and contemporary viewpoints, and highlights

interviews with four people from various foundations: A portion of the members included Kishwar Sultana Mir, a displaced person from Amritsar presently residing in Lahore; Khawar Kazi, a resigned Teacher of English at Kinnaird School for Ladies Lahore; Usman Qasmi, a teacher at Lahore College of The board and Sciences (LUMS) who spends significant time in South Asian history; and Ishtiaq Ahmed, a Teacher Emeritus of Political Theory at Stockholm College and a Mir's family had to leave their old neighbourhood of Amritsar, which turned out to be important for recently made India, and migrate to Gujarat before at long last getting comfortable Lahore, where she right now dwells. In the meantime, Kazi's dad was the Magistrate for Restoration in Lahore and was effectively engaged with obliging displaced people in the recently made Pakistan, the two of which they examine in this survey. Qasmi and Ahmed's scholarly thoughts supplement these two observer accounts, giving another point on the enduring impacts of the partition savagery in South Asia.

Jauhari (2013). Struggle between India and Pakistan has its foundations in 1947, when India acquired its independence from English frontier authority and was partitioned into two separate nations, India and Pakistan. The strict contrasts between Hindus and Muslims were a central point in the nation's parted. The Muslim-ruled northern province of Jammu and Kashmir, which is presently a piece of India, has been a significant wellspring of difficulty between the two nations since India's partition. Throughout the long term, this two-sided battle has had worldwide repercussions. An atomic weapons contest and an expansion in psychological oppression in the locale are immediate consequences of the times of battling between the two nations, which have included three significant conflicts. With the developing worldwide danger of atomic multiplication and psychological warfare, these two territorial aftereffects of the battle have taken on worldwide importance.

Chakrabarti (2016). It has been suggested that the partitioning of the twentieth century were largely the result of three interconnected global developments: (a) decolonization, (b) democratization, and (c) the dynamics of the cold war. Particularly marked by the whirlwind created by the interplay of these three forces was the partition of the Indian subcontinent. In South Asia, devolution and indigenization of political institutions and governance were integral parts of the partition process, which itself was at the center of the emergence of new nations. As a result, internal disagreements and the emergence of regional dynamics that are typically competitive and conflictual in nature have dogged the nation-building process for decades after the subcontinent's partition.

Jatt and Riggs (2021). How quick are individuals who have been moved ready to construct significant connections to new regions, and what material structures assist with making these connections conceivable? We present a conversation of post-dislodging topophilia, some of the time known as a connection to place, and we focus our consideration on the development of the Sharif family from India to Pakistan during the 1947 Partition. The Sharif family had to take off from their home and confronted risk as they went through regions populated by transients who held contradicting sociopolitical perspectives to their own and were going the other way. From that point forward, they restored themselves in the areas that had been deserted by these "adversaries," while the "foes" themselves restored themselves inside the town that they had recently occupied. Via representation, we will inspect the outcomes of closeness through lodging, which can be characterized as a feeling of nearness and compassion that outcomes from a common experience with a home space. We know that clashes regularly emerge when various gatherings have connections to similar site for different reasons. Then again, we battle that when individuals become personally acquainted with each other's residing places, the outcome is regularly common regard and cognizance of each other's points of view.

Background to Partition:

Organization overwhelms or Organization Raj alludes to the time frame starting in 1757 when the English business organization known as the East India Organization started to rule areas of the subcontinent starting with Bengal. This time span is otherwise called the Organization Rule. The standard of India was given over to the English crown in 1858, following the horrendous Sepoy Resistance. In 1878, Sovereign Victoria was announced to be the Ruler of India. By the last 50% of the nineteenth 100 years, Britain had brought the overwhelming power of the Modern Insurgency to the area. New correspondence connections and potential open doors were made conceivable because of the development of rail lines, trenches, scaffolds, and transmit lines by Britain. Most of recently made positions were

given to individuals of English plummet; a huge part of the land that was used for these headways was given by neighbourhood ranchers, and the related expenses were canvassed by expansions in local charges.

The significant increase in population can be attributed to the numerous medical advancements made during the time of the Company and the British Raj. These advancements included immunizations against smallpox, improved sanitation, and quarantine protocols. Famines came out as a direct result of agricultural advancements being stifled in rural regions by landlords who practiced protectionist policies. The worst of it was known as the Great Famine, which occurred between 1876 and 1878 and resulted in the deaths of between 6 and 10 million people. The establishment of universities in India resulted in the creation of a new middle class, which in turn led to an increase in social change and political activity.

The Rise of Sectarian Separation:

The debut social event of what might become known as the Indian Public Congress (INC) occurred in the year 1885. In 1905, the English government endeavoured an endeavour to partition the territory of Bengal along strict lines. Accordingly, the Indian Public Congress (INC) coordinated huge fights against the thought. This was the stimulus for the foundation of the Muslim Association, whose essential goal was to guard the legitimate remaining of Muslims in case of resulting autonomy talks. Notwithstanding the way that the Muslim Association was laid out contrary to the INC, and in spite of the way that the English pilgrim specialists endeavoured to play the INC and Muslim Association off of each other, the two political associations to a great extent teamed up in their endeavours to have England "Quit India." Yasmin Khan, an English student of history brought into the world in 1977, has given a portrayal of how political occasions were bound to destroy the fate of that uncomfortable association in the long haul.

In 1909, the British government distributed distinct electorates to the various religious communities in the country. This action had the effect of strengthening the boundaries that existed between the various denominations. The colonial authority drew attention to these distinctions by carrying out activities such as separating the Muslim and Hindu passengers at railway terminals to use their own restrooms and obtain their own water supplies. In the 1920s, there was a clear indication of a heightened sense of religious and ethnic identity. During times like the Holi festival, when sacred cows were murdered, or when Hindu religious music was performed in front of mosques during prayer time, riots broke out.

World War I and Afterward:

Despite the rising discontent, both the Indian Public Congress and the Muslim Association were supportive of sending Indian worker men to battle in The Second Great War in favor of England. Individuals of India expected political concessions, as far as possible up to and including autonomy, in pay for the help of more than 1,000,000 Indian troopers during The Second Great War. Then again, Incredible England made no comparable concessions after the conflict.

Amritsar, in the province of Punjab, was the location of pro-independence agitation when, in April 1919, a British Army detachment traveled there to put an end to the unrest. The head of the unit provided the request for his men to start shooting at the unarmed crowd, which brought about the passings of more than 1,000 demonstrators. After the insight about the slaughter in Amritsar went all through India, a huge number of individuals who had recently been politically emotionless became allies of the Indian Public Congress and the Muslim Association.

Mohandas Gandhi (1869-1948) rose to unmistakable quality inside the Indian Public Congress (INC) all through the 1930s. Notwithstanding the way that he pushed for a brought together Hindu and Muslim India with equivalent freedoms for all, different individuals from the INC were less inclined to combine efforts with Muslims in their battle against the English. As an immediate result of this, the Muslim Association began getting ready for the foundation of a free Muslim state.

World War II:

A break created in the connection between the English, the INC, and the Muslim Association as an immediate consequence of The Second Great War. Albeit the English government guessed that India would by and by outfit genuinely necessary troopers and materials for the conflict exertion, the Indian Public Congress was against sending Indians to battle and bite the dust in England's conflict. After the treachery that happened in the result of The Second Great War, the INC didn't really accept that that India would benefit from such a penance. The Muslim Association, then again, reached the resolution

that it would loan its help to England's call for volunteers in the desire for acquiring the help of England for a Muslim country in post-freedom northern India.

Indeed, even before the conflict was finished, general assessment in England had moved against the interruption and cost of realm. The expense of the conflict had definitely depleted England's cash safes, which prompted this change in open opinion. In 1945, the favorable to freedom Work Party was chosen for power, supplanting the party of previous English Top state leader Winston Churchill (1874-1965), who filled in as state leader from 1874 until 1965. Freedom for India was one of Work's first concerns; the party likewise upheld for a more continuous change to a majority rules government in England's other provincial belongings.

A Separate Muslim State:

Muhammed Ali Jinnah (1876-1948), the head of the Muslim Association, started a public mission for a particular Muslim state, while Jawaharlal Nehru (1889-1964), the head of the Indian Public Congress, lobbied for India to stay unified. The heads of the Indian Public Congress, for example, Nehru, upheld the possibility of a bound together India because of the way that Hindus would have made up the larger part of the populace in India and would have been responsible for any just type of government.

As the nation drew nearer to accomplishing its freedom, it began traveling toward a partisan nationwide conflict. Despite the fact that Gandhi begged individuals of India to meet up in peaceful protection from English rule, the Muslim Association coordinated a "Immediate Activity Day" on August 16, 1946, which brought about the passings of in excess of 4,000 Hindus and Sikhs in Calcutta (presently known as Kolkata). This happened regardless of the way that Gandhi made this solicitation. This set off what became known as the "Seven day stretch of the Long Blades," which was an episode of partisan viciousness that brought about the homicide of many individuals on the two sides in different places all around the country.

Indian Independence Act of 1947:

The declaration that India would be given her autonomy by June 1948 was made by the English organization in February 1947. Louis Mountbatten, Emissary of India from 1900 until 1979, attempted to persuade the heads of India's Hindu and Muslim people group to consent to the development of a solitary element, yet they couldn't do as such. Mountbatten's position was upheld by nobody other than Gandhi. Mountbatten hesitantly assented to the foundation of two free states when obviously the nation was slipping further into disorder.

Mountbatten suggested that the Muslim-greater part regions of Baluchistan and Sindh would be utilized to shape the new territory of Pakistan, and that the two disagreeable territories of Punjab and Bengal would be parted in half to make a Hindu Bengal and Punjab and a Muslim Bengal and Punjab. The arrangement was effective in acquiring support from both the Muslim Association and the INC, and on June 3, 1947, it was unveiled. The date of freedom was raised to August 15, 1947, and by then all that remained was "adjusting," or the assurance of the genuine line that would isolate the two new states.

The Radcliffe Line:

Mountbatten appointed Cyril Radcliffe (1899–1977), a British judge and rank outsider, to head the Boundary Commission that he established in order to determine the "final" or "real" line between the two countries. On July 8, Radcliffe arrived in India, and on August 17, a meagre six weeks and after one day, the boundary line was distributed. Lawmakers from Punjab and Bengali would have been offered the chance to decide on the chance of dividing the territories, and the North-West Wilderness Region must have a mandate on whether it ought to join Pakistan.

The outline was to be done by Radcliffe during the following five weeks. He neither came from a foundation in Indian undertakings nor had any past mastery in intervening or settling conflicts of this nature. He was a "sure beginner," in the expressions of Indian student of history Joya Chatterji, who picked such words since Radcliffe was purportedly unopinionated and unbiased.

Jinnah had recommended a single committee comprised of three unbiased individuals, but Nehru supported two separate commissions, one for Bengal and one for Punjab. Jinnah's proposal had been rejected. They would each be composed of an independent chairperson, as well as two individuals who were nominated by the Muslim League and two individuals who were nominated by the INC. Radcliffe was in charge of both chairs; it was his responsibility to devise a high-level strategy for the partitioning of each province as quickly as possible,

with the specifics of the partitioning to be worked out later.

The establishment of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan took place on August 14, 1947. The following day saw the establishment of the Republic of India in the southern region. The announcement of Radcliffe's Prize was made public on August 17, 1947.

Conclusion:

As indicated by the student of history Chatterji, the new line broke rural networks and cut off the association between towns and the hinterlands on which the towns had customarily depended to meet their fundamental asset necessities. Loss of these business sectors required their reintegration or the making of new ones; supply railheads and relatives were additionally parted separated. The end result was what was going on, with criminal behavior over the line forming into a fruitful business and an extended military presence on the two sides of the boundary. Mohandas Gandhi was killed on January 30, 1948, by a youthful Hindu fanatic for his help of a multi-strict state. This death occurred in India. Burma (presently known as Myanmar) and Ceylon (presently known as Sri Lanka) both achieved their freedom in 1948, autonomously of the partitioning of India. Bangladesh accomplished its freedom from Pakistan in 1971.

Since August 1947, India and Pakistan have been associated with four conflicts, three of which were major and one of which was minor, which were all battled for regional issues. Especially tense is what is going on at the limit line in Jammu and Kashmir. The leader of Kashmir selected to join India notwithstanding his region having a Muslim larger part, which has brought about pressure and fighting right up to the present day. These locales were not lawfully a piece of the English Raj in India, yet rather were royal republics that were for the most part free of each other. 1974 was the year when India led its most memorable atomic weapons test. From that point onward, in 1998, Pakistan joined the conflict. In this way, any acceleration of post-Partition pressures in the current day, for example, India's crackdown on Kashmiri freedom in August 2019, can possibly make destroying impacts.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, I. (2002). The 1947 partition of India: A paradigm for pathological politics in India and Pakistan. *Asian Ethnicity*, **3**(1) : 9-28.

Butt, N. (2017, December). Violence and the Partition of India: Voices from Pakistan. In Kairos: A Journal of Critical Symposium, (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 146-160).

Chakrabarti, S. (2016). Interpreting the legacy of partition in the subcontinent: Indian and Pakistani perspectives. Politeja-Pismo Wydzia³u Studiów Miêdzynarodowych i Politycznych Uniwersytetu Jagielloñskiego, **13**(40) : 21-29.

Fitzpatrick, H. (2019). Imagining and mapping the end of an empire: Oskar Spate and the partition of India and Pakistan. *J. Historical Geography*, **66** : 55-68.

Jatt, Z.R. and Riggs, E.P. (2021). Propinquity through dwelling: Living in evacuee properties after the Partition of India and Pakistan. *J. Social Archaeology*, **21**(1) : 74-96.

Jauhari, A. (2013). India-Pakistan relations: international implications.

Kaul, Suvir (2001). The Partition of Memory: The After Life of the Division of India. New Delhi: Permanent Black. Print.

Narasimhan-Madhavan, D. (2006). Gender, sexuality and violence: Permissible violence against women during the partition of India and Pakistan. *Hawwa*, **4**(2-3) : 396-416.

Roland, A. (2010). Trauma and dissociation: 9/11 and the India/ Pakistan partition. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, **46**(3) : 380-394.

Roy-Chaudhury, R. (2009). India Versus Pakistan: From Partition to the Present. *The RUSI Journal*, **154**(4) : 60-65.

Ruminations in IndianEnglish Literature.Ed. M.F. Patel. Vol.1, Jaipur: Sunrise Publishers & Distributors, 2009. Print.

Salim, A. (2004). Partition of India: The Case of Sindh Migration, Violence and Peaceful Sindh.

Singh, G. and Talbot, I. (2009). The partition of India. Cambridge University Press.

Singh, Khushwant (2005). Train to Pakistan. New Delhi: Orient Longman Pvt. Ltd., print.

Talbot, I. (2019). Legacies of the Partition for India and Pakistan. Politeja-Pismo Wydzia³u Studiów Miêdzynarodowych i Politycznych Uniwersytetu Jagielloñskiego, **16**(59) : 7-25.

****