International Journal of Applied Social Science
(An International Double Blind Peer Reviewed / Refereed Research Journal of Social Science)
Volume 13 (1 & 2), January & February (2026) : 9-13
Received : 02.12.2025; Revised : 16.12.2025; Accepted : 02.01.2026

RESEARCH PAPER
ISSN : 2394-1405 (Print)
DOI : https://doi-ds.org/doilink/01.2026-72693533/IJASS/13.1&2/9-13

Emotional Dysregulation and Negative Affect among Young Adults Due to Perceived Stress

KRITI SRIVASTAVA
Post Graduate Student
School of Liberal Education, Galgotias University, Greater Noida (U.P.) India

ABSTRACT

In today's world, stress affects people's lives on everyday basis. The study looks at how Perceived stress contribute into emotional dysregulation and its negative affect Among Young Adults, with a particular emphasis on emotional dysregulation that happens due to stress. In Present study we included 100 young adults to check for the correlation between emotional dysregulation and perceived stress using snowball sampling by the help of social media. Emotional dysregulation can cause by so many factors and result into so many mental health disorders. Past researches said that it contributes in Borderline personality disorders and Autism spectrum disorders and stress can be one of the leading causes. So, overall in this study the highlighted point is about checking the relation between emotional dysregulation and the negative affect due to perceived stress.

Keywords: Emotional dysregulation, Mental Health, Stress, Positive and negative affect

INTRODUCTION

Emotional Dysregulation:

According to APA dictionary, Emotional Dysregulation defined as any excessive or poorly handled mechanism or response. Emotional dysregulation, for example, is an intense or inappropriate emotional response to a situation (e.g., temper outbursts, intentional self-harm); it has been linked to bipolar disorders, borderline personality disorder, autism spectrum disorder, psychological trauma, and brain injuries. Emotional Dysregulation in a human being can cause several mental disorders. According to Wikipedia's etymology, The term dysregulation is a neologism formed by combining two of the prefix dys- and regulation. According to Webster's Dictionary, the word dys- has several bases and is of Greek origin. With Latin and Greek roots, it is similar to Old English tō-, te- 'apart' and Sanskrit dus- 'bad, difficult'. It is frequently confused with the term disregulation, with the prefix dis meaning 'lack of'; whereas dysregulation refers to the removal or absence of regulation, dysregulation relates to inadequate or improper regulatory methods.

Among Young adults, Emotional dysregulation has received a lot of attention in recent years since it can unite and cross-diagnose a variety of psychopathological findings (D'Agostino *et al.*, 2017; Dimaggio *et al.*, 2017; Gross and Jazaieri, 2014). Emotional regulation is typically defined as the individuals' ability to maintain, regulate, or inhibit, consciously or unconsciously, the behavioral, cognitive, experiential, or physiological aspects of emotional arousal, per one's own and socially acceptable desires and goals. Numerous research have linked emotional states to impulsivity and addictive behavior. Studies have found that smoking and unhealthy eating increase during stressful times (Abrantes *et al.*, 2008; Shi *et al.*, 2011; Greeno and Wing, 1994; Magid *et al.*, 2009); alcohol is often used to regulate positive and negative moods (Cooper *et al.*, 1995); and that sensitivity to anxiety and an inability to cope with discomfort both significantly predict the onset of alcohol or drug problems (Howell *et al.,* 2011; Galen *et al.*, 2001; Stewart *et al.*,

How to cite this Article: Srivastava, Kriti (2026). Emotional Dysregulation and Negative Affect among Young Adults Due to Perceived Stress. *Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci.,* **13** (1 & 2) : 9-13.

2001; Cheethman *et al.*, 2010)

Perceived Stress:

Perceived stress, defined as a person's subjective assessment of pressures and difficult circumstances, is a significant predictor of health. Although a reasonable level of stress may lead to beneficial adjustments and excellent results, there is ample evidence in the literature that excessive stress, whether chronic or acute, causes various health problems. Differentiating between the types of stressors in an individual's surroundings and how those stressors are seen as imposing demands is a crucial aspect of the process. While some circumstances are typically seen as dangerous for practically everyone, judgments of other difficulties may vary depending on a variety of characteristics, including a person's personality, experiences, resources, coping techniques, and social support networks (Schwartz *et al.,* 1996, Biondi and Picardi, 1999).

Young adults who are exposed to stressors may experience both short-term and long-term physiological consequences. This essay concentrates on the long-term effects of stressful experiences as opposed to their immediate effects. The theory of homeostasis load (McEwen and Stellar, 1993, McEwen, 2002) is based on the cumulative impact of chronic stress and explains how an individual's biological reaction to persistent stresses can eventually lead to the dysregulation of several interconnected physiological systems.

In the present study, we examined the relationship between emotional dysregulation and the negative effects of it due to perceived stress. Our hypothesis was that people who score higher on the issues in (measured by difficulties in emotional regulation scale and PANAS test), which measures emotion management issues, would also self-report being more impulsive, engage in more impulsive behaviors, and perform worse on neurocognitive tests that evaluate impulsive decision-making and positive and negative affect of emotions.

Research Objectives:

1. To study the Emotional dysregulation among young adults.
2. To study the negative affects of emotions in among young adults.
3. To examine the relationship between emotional dysregulation and perceived stress in young adults.

METHODOLOGY

The research is on the "Emotional dysregulation and negative affect among young adults due to Perceived stress" study was carried out in throughout the India; the study focuses on the young adults aged between 18-30 years. Data were collected through open-ended questions including 100 participants and three questionnaires which is difficulties in emotional regulation scale by Gratz, K.L. and Roemer, L. (2004), Positive and negative affect schedule by Watson, David, Clark, Lee Anna and Tellegen, Auke (1988) and perceived stress questionnaire ýFliege .

Tools:

Difficulties in emotional regulation scale (DERS):

An instrument used to measure issues with emotion regulation is the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS). In order to generate scores on the subsequent subscales, respondents are asked how they connect to their emotions on a 36-item self-report scale.

1. Non acceptance of emotional responses
2. Difficulty engaging in goal-directed behavior
3. Impulse control difficulties
4. Lack of emotional awareness
5. Limited access to emotion regulation strategies
6. Lack of emotional clarity

CFA was used to examine the one-factor model, the second-order bifactor model, and the first step of the five-factor model. According to Kline (2015), in a CFA analysis, the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), comparative fit index (CFI), and minimal fit indices of Chi-square should all be given. According to reports in the literature, it is appropriate for the goodness-of-fit index (GFI) and CFI values to be better than 0.80, the SRMR value to be less than 0.80, the $\chi 2/df$ value to be less than 3, and the RMSEA value to be between 0.05 and 0.08. The Clarity and Non-acceptance subscales for the second-order bifactor CFA were examined under the DEP factor, The DEP factor was utilized to examine the Clarity and Nonacceptance subscales in the second-order bifactor CFA, whereas the DER factor was utilized to analyze the Strategy, Impulsivity, and Aim subscales. A reliability analysis of DERS-16 was conducted with Cronbach's alpha. Pearson correlational analysis was used to examine the associations among DERS-16, DERS-36, TAS, and BIS-11 in order to ensure concurrent

validity.

Positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS TEST):

The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) is a commonly used scale for assessing mood and emotion, developed by Watson, Clark, and Tellegen in 1988. There are twenty items on this short scale; ten of them measure positive affect (such as inspired or thrilled) and ten of them measure negative affect (such as upset or terrified). A five-point Likert scale, with 1 denoting Very Slightly or Not at all and 5 denoting Extremely, is used to score each item in order to determine the level to which the influence has been felt within a given time frame. The purpose of the PANAS was to assess affect in a variety of situations, including the current instant, the previous day, week, or year, as well as generally (on average). The scale can therefore be used to assess dispositional characteristic impact, emotional swings over a given duration, or emotional reactions to situations.

Perceived stress questionnaire (PSQ):

The PSQ, which consists of 30 items, was created as a tool for evaluating stressful daily events and situations that frequently cause or worsen illness symptoms. The PSQ may be a useful tool in determining the root causes of sleep disorders, since stress has a significant impact on the regularity and quality of the sleep cycle. The scale has been used in research investigations but is specifically advised for use in clinical situations.

Population for Testing:

The PSQ was validated on a cohort of 31.8 ± 13.9-year-old hospitalized patients, patients, students, and healthcare workers.

Management:

The scale is a pencil-and-paper self-report assessment that takes ten to fifteen minutes to complete.

Reliability and Validity:

Developers Levenstein and colleagues undertook a psychometric study of the scale and discovered a level of internal consistency that ranged from 90 to.92 and a test-retest reliability of.82. PSQ results are connected..

Procedure:

Consent was obtained at the start of data collection and explicitly stated in the directions box that confidentiality would be prioritized and that the information provided would not be misused. Each questionnaire included specific instructions for answering the questions. The data has been gathered using Google Forms. After receiving instructions, the questionnaire was distributed and data was gathered in the form of responses. MS.EXCEL 2010 was used to convert the qualitative data to quantitative format for efficient scoring.

Sampling:

In the study, we analyzed 100 young adults through making the google forms and giving out online on social media. Some of the data is also collected by snowball sampling but it involves individual from different parts of the country

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected through the survey was analyzed using descriptive statistics, including mean, Standard deviation, and Frequency distribution and the correlation between Emotional dysregulation and Perceived stress. The correlation found out to be significant at (0.01 level). (Table 1 and 2).

Table 1 : Descriptive statistics

NA	100	10.00	48.00	28.2600	9.45336
Non Accept	100	6.00	30.00	18.2900	6.30103
Goals	100	5.00	25.00	16.6500	4.60648
Impulse	100	6.00	30.00	18.2000	5.79969
Awareness	100	11.00	26.00	17.2000	3.29370
Strategies	100	8.00	39.00	24.4500	7.77119
Clarity	100	7.00	22.00	14.1400	3.85080
Stress	100	38.00	98.00	74.6400	12.46937
Valid N (listwise)	100				

The data prevails that among 100 of young adults there is high correlation between emotional dysregulation and perceived stress. This suggests that stress is one of the leading cause of emotional dysregulation among adults these days. The lifestyle choices, factors such as fast life and not much awareness about regulating emotion can affect emotional regulation and cause stress. The current study has limitations that suggest avenues for further research. Increasing the sample size could improve result generalizability. Using diverse sampling techniques, such as random or stratified sampling rather than snowball sampling, may yield a more representative

Table 2 : Correlations

		NA	Non Accept	Goals	Impulse	Awareness	Strategies	Clarity	Stress
NA	Pearson Correlation	1	.389**	.366**	.340**	.156	.456**	.374**	-.144
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.001	.122	.000	.000	.154
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Non Accept	Pearson Correlation	.389**	1	.502**	.511**	-.007	.692**	.555**	-.133
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.943	.000	.000	.188
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Goals	Pearson Correlation	.366**	.502**	1	.767**	.024	.761**	.438**	-.005
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.813	.000	.000	.960
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Impulse	Pearson Correlation	.340**	.511**	.767**	1	-.004	.708**	.437**	-.014
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.000	.000		.967	.000	.000	.891
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Awareness	Pearson Correlation	.156	-.007	.024	-.004	1	.028	.157	-.195
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.122	.943	.813	.967		.782	.119	.052
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Strategies	Pearson Correlation	.456**	.692**	.761**	.708**	.028	1	.595**	-.072
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.782		.000	.474
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Clarity	Pearson Correlation	.374**	.555**	.438**	.437**	.157	.595**	1	-.073
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.119	.000		.471
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Stress	Pearson Correlation	-.144	-.133	-.005	-.014	-.195	-.072	-.073	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.154	.188	.960	.891	.052	.474	.471	
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

sample and enhance validity. The study has significant at 0.01 levels that shows a close relation between emotional dysregulation and perceived stress. Future studies should explore gender disparities in stress perception and the impacts of tailored interventions. Analyzing urban-rural differences could also elucidate environmental influences on stress and coping mechanisms. There is truly the significant results are found.

REFERENCES

Abrantes, A.M., Strong, D.R., Lejuez, C.W., Kahler, C.W., Carpenter, L.L., Price, L.H., et al. (2008). The role of negative affect in risk for early lapse among low distress tolerance smokers. *Addictive Behaviors,* **33**(11):1394–1401.

Biondi, M. and Picardi, A. (1999). Psychological stress and neuroendocrine function in humans; the last two decades of research. *Psychother Psychosom.,* **68**:114–150.

Cheethman, A., Allen, N., Yucel, M. and Lubman, D. (2010). The role of affective dysregulation in drug addiction. *Clinical Psychology Review*, **30**(6):621–634.

Cooper, M.L., Frone, M.R., Russell, M. and Mudar, P. (1995). Drinking to regulate positive and negative emotions: a motivational model of alcohol use. *J. Personality & Social Psychology*, **69**(5) : 990–1006.

D'Agostino, A., Covanti, S., Monti, M.R. and Starcevic, V. (2017). Reconsidering emotion dysregulation. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, **88**(4) : 807-825. 10.1007/s11126-017-9499-6

Dimaggio, G., Popolo, R., Montano, A., Velotti, P., Perrini, F., Buonocore, L., Garofalo, C., D'Aguanno, M. and Salvatore, G. (2017). Emotion dysregulation, symptoms, and interpersonal problems as independent predictors of a broad range of personality disorders in an outpatient sample. *Psychology & Psychotherapy: Theory, Research & Practice*, **90**(4) : 586–599.

Galen, L.W., Henderson, M.J. and Coovert, M.D. (2001). Alcohol expectancies and motives in a substance abusing male treatment sample. *Drug & Alcohol Dependence*, **62**(3):205–214

Gratz, K.L. and Roemer, L. (2004) Multidimensional assessment of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Development, factor structure, and initial validation of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. *J. Psychopathology &*

Behavioral Assessment, **26** : 41-54.

Greeno, C.G. and Wing, R.R. (1994). Stress-incuded eating. *Psychological Bulletin*, **115**(3):44–464.

Gross, J.J. and Jazaieri, H. (2014). Emotion, emotion regulation, and psychopathology: An affective science perspective. *Clinical Psychological Science*, **2**(4) : 387–401.

Howell, A., Leyro, T., Hogan, J., Buckner, J. and Zvolensky, M. (2011). Anxiety sensitivity, distress tolerance, and discomfort intolerance in relation to coping and conformity motives for alcohol use and alcohol use problems among young adult drinkers. *Addictive Behaviors*, **35**(12):1144–1147.

Kline, R.B. (2015). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. New York: The Guilford Press.

Madig, V., Colder, C.R., Stroud, L.R., Nichter, M. and Nichter, M. TERN Members (2009). Negative affect, stress, and smoking in college students: unique associations of alcohol and marijuana use. *Addictive Behaviors*, **34**(11) : 973–975.

McEwen, B.S. (2002). Sex, stress and the hippocampus; allostasis, allostatic load and the aging process. *Neurobiol. Aging* , **23**(5) : 921–939.

McEwen, B.S. and Stellar, E. (1993). Stress and the individual; mechanisms leading to disease. *Arch. Intern. Med.,* **153** : 2093–2101.

Schwartz, J.E., Pickering, T.G. and Landsbergis, P.A. (1996). Work-related stress and blood pressure: Current theoretical models and considerations from a behavioral medicine perspective. *J. Occup. Health Psychol.,* **1**(3) : 287–310.

Shi, Y., Hooten, W.M. and Warner, D.O. (2011). Effects of smoking cessation on pain in older adults. Nicotine Tobacco Research. 2011 doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntr097.

Stewart, S.H., Zvolensky, M.J. and Eifert, G.H. (2001). Negative-reinforcement drinking motives mediate the relation between anxiety sensitivity and increased drinking behavior. *Personality & Individual Differences*, **31**(2) : 157–171.

Watson, D., Clark, L.A. and Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *J. Personality & Social Psychology*, **54**(6) : 1063.

****