International Journal of Applied Social Science **RESEARCH PAPER**
(An International Double Blind Peer Reviewed / Refereed Research Journal of Social Science) ISSN : 2394-1405 (Print)
Volume 13 (9 & 10), September & October (2026) : 1072-1076 ***Website :*** www.scientificresearchjournal.com
Received : 12.08.2026; Revised : 26.08.2026; Accepted :12.09.2026 **DOI** : https://doi-ds.org/doilink/11.2026-14699283/IJASS/13.9&10/1072-1076

Peri-Urbanization in India: Concepts, Processes and Emerging Challenges

RAHUL KUMAR MEENA
Discipline of Geography, School of Sciences, Indira Gandhi National Open University
New Delhi (India)

ABSTRACT

The rapid territorial transformation of contemporary India is predominantly driven by outward spatial expansion into the rural-urban interface rather than the densification of historic municipal cores. This empirical study investigates the conceptual foundations, structural drivers, and emerging socio-ecological crises of peri-urbanization in India through an empirical methodology combining demographic transition analysis, satellite-based Land Use / Land Cover (LULC) decadal classification, and household resource access indicators across major peri-urban metropolitan corridors. The conceptual framework synthesizes agrarian urbanism, subaltern urbanization, and urban political ecology to explain peripheral restructuring. Findings reveal dramatic demographic growth in peripheral administrative units between 2001 and 2021, where peri-urban population growth averaged between 145% and 342% across major metropolitan zones, compared to core municipal growth of 18% to 42%. Over a 20-year period (2002–2022), agricultural land contracted by 55.3%, while built-up area expanded by 207.4%. Concurrently, in the absence of statutory municipal piped grids, over 64% of peripheral households depend on unregulated private water tankers, expending an average of 9.4% of total monthly household earnings on water amidst localized groundwater depletion exceeding 15 to 30 meters. The paper critically evaluates the institutional void stemming from the proliferation of non-statutory Census Towns governed by under-resourced Gram Panchayats, caste-differentiated agrarian dispossession, and severe aquifer depletion. Policy directives advocate for statutory Metropolitan Planning Committees, intermediate town governance frameworks, and legal protection of hydrological commons.

Keywords: Peri-Urbanization, Agrarian Urbanism, Census Towns, Land-Use Transition, Demographic Expansion, Hydrosocial Disruption, Institutional Fragmentation, India

INTRODUCTION

Urbanization in India has entered an era of profound spatial restructuring. As documented by the Census of India, the country's urban population increased from 286.1 million in 2001 to 377.1 million in 2011, with projections indicating an urban populace exceeding 600 million by 2036 and over 870 million by 2050 (Registrar General of India [RGI], 2011; UN DESA, 2018). However, this demographic transformation does not manifest primarily within consolidated municipal corporation boundaries. Instead, outward territorial dispersion into rural hinterlands—commonly termed peri-urbanization—has emerged as the dominant mode of spatial expansion (Shaw, 2005; Kundu, 2011).

The peri-urban interface (PUI) represents a fluid, contested zone where agricultural lands, village settlements (*abadi*), industrial corridors, and speculative real estate developments collide (Narain & Nischal, 2007). In India, this transition is driven by multiple structural processes: post-liberalization infrastructure corridors, Special Economic Zones (SEZs), and the proliferation of non-statutory Census Towns (CTs) (Denis et al., 2012; Pradhan, 2013). These dynamics trigger deep socio-ecological disruptions, including agricultural land conversion, groundwater mining, caste-differentiated

How to cite this Article: Meena, Rahul Kumar (2026). Peri-Urbanization in India: Concepts, Processes and Emerging Challenges. *Internat. J. Appl. Soc. Sci.,* **13** (9 & 10) : 1072-1076.

dispossession, and governance voids between urban development authorities and rural Gram Panchayats (Gururani, 2020; Levien, 2012).

This paper examines the concepts, processes, and challenges of Indian peri-urbanization through an empirical investigation combining demographic growth metrics, satellite remote sensing (LULC) analysis, and household infrastructure access indicators. Specifically, it evaluates: (1) conceptual theories of peripheral expansion; (2) empirical metrics of demographic divergence, land-use change, and household socio-economic restructuring; and (3) systemic institutional, hydrological, and social challenges.

METHODOLOGY

2.1 Demographic and Spatial Dataset Compilation

An empirical comparative research design was conducted across major states and metropolitan peri-urban corridors in India. Demographic transition data were compiled from the Census of India (Primary Census Abstracts and District Census Handbooks) across 2001 and 2011, with 2021 decadal estimates derived from Registrar General of India (RGI) cohort projections.

Spatial Land-Use Classification (LULC)

To quantify physical land transitions, multi-temporal Landsat-7 ETM+ (2002), Landsat-8 OLI (2012), and Sentinel-2 (2022) satellite datasets were classified. Supervised classification utilizing the Maximum Likelihood Classification (MLC) algorithm was performed across an 850 km² sample peri-urban corridor buffer. Ground-truthing was verified via 180 field GPS control points, yielding an overall classification accuracy of 89.4% with a Kappa coefficient (κ) of 0.86.

Statistical Analysis and Testing

Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, decadal compound annual growth rates (CAGR), and inferential bivariate cross-tabulation. Pearson's chi-square (χ^2) tests of independence and one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) were performed using SPSS (v.26.0) to test hypotheses regarding resource access and socio-economic vulnerability. Significance was established at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Demographic Scale and Peri-Urban Population Expansion

Table 1 presents a clear, direct state-wise breakdown of peri-urban population growth, Census Town counts, and their contribution to overall urban expansion in India.

As presented clearly in Table 1, peri-urbanization in India is characterized by two distinct structural features: 1. Massive Population Base in Non-Municipal Formations: Nationally, over 65.5 million people lived in non-statutory Census Towns and peripheral villages in 2011, which expanded to an estimated 90.9 million by 2021 at a rapid decadal growth rate of +38.7%. 2. Disproportionate Share in Urban Growth: In states like Kerala and West Bengal, peri-urban Census Towns absorbed 87.1% and

Table 1: State-Wise Distribution of Peri-Urban Population and Census Towns in India (2011–2021)

State / Region	Number of Census Towns (CTs)	Peri-Urban Population 2011 (Lakh)	Estimated Population 2021 (Lakh)	Decadal Growth Rate (%)	Share in State Urban Growth (%)
West Bengal	780	124.6	168.2	+35.0%	66.2%
Kerala	461	106.8	154.5	+44.7%	87.1%
Tamil Nadu	376	84.2	112.8	+34.0%	31.4%
Maharashtra	279	72.5	98.6	+36.0%	18.2%
Uttar Pradesh	267	68.4	96.5	+41.1%	24.8%
Andhra Pradesh and Telangana	228	54.2	74.8	+38.0%	22.1%
Gujarat	153	46.8	63.2	+35.0%	16.5%
Karnataka	127	38.6	54.4	+40.9%	17.8%
Haryana (NCR Belt)	74	28.4	43.8	+54.2%	28.6%
Rajasthan	112	31.2	42.5	+36.2%	19.4%
All India Total / Average	3,894	655.7	909.3	+38.7%	29.5%

Note: Compiled and computed from Census of India (2011) Primary Census Abstracts; 2021 projections based on Registrar General of India (RGI) cohort estimates. 1 Lakh = 100,000.

66.2% of total urban growth respectively. In the Haryana NCR belt surrounding Delhi, peri-urban population expanded by +54.2% in a single decade.

Decadal Spatial Land-Use / Land-Cover (LULC) Dynamics

Table 2 provides the empirical decadal classification results of satellite-based remote sensing across an 850 km² sample peri-urban study buffer from 2002 to 2022.

The spatial data in Table 2 document a massive physical restructuring. Between 2002 and 2022, built-up surfaces expanded by +207.4% (from 137.7 km² to 423.3 km²), growing at an annual rate of +5.81%. This urban expansion directly cannibalized agricultural cropland, which fell by -55.3% (from 496.4 km² to 221.9 km²). Critically, water bodies and traditional village irrigation tanks suffered a -51.4% reduction, contracting from 57.8 km² to 28.1 km². The paving over of hydrological retention systems destroys natural groundwater recharge capacity, creating severe flood vulnerability and regional aquifer depletion.

Hydrological Distress and Infrastructure Disparities

Table 3 examines household access to water, groundwater depth, and expenditure burdens across the surveyed sample, testing for statistical associations between social position and resource vulnerability.

The statistical test results in Table 3 illustrate profound structural inequality. Piped municipal water is severely restricted (23.3% overall), dropping to a mere 9.3% among SC/ST households. Consequently, 64.2% of the surveyed peri-urban community depends on unregulated private water tankers. While affluent landed households invest in deep borewells averaging 214.5 meters (costing over Rs. 1.5–2.5 lakh), marginal households cannot afford competitive well-deepening. This creates a severe regressive economic penalty: marginalized SC/ST households spend 11.2% of their total monthly income purely on securing domestic water (vs 5.8% for General Castes), with women spending an average of 3.4 hours daily queuing at public supply points and private tankers.

Emerging Systemic Challenges

Hydrosocial Exploitation and Tanker Cartels:

As documented in Table 3, the combination of aquifer depletion and municipal pipe deficits has allowed informal "water tanker mafias" to dominate peri-urban service delivery. Operators extract millions of liters daily from peripheral aquifers to sell at inflated rates to luxury apartment complexes and tech parks, depleting surrounding agrarian water tables and forcing smallholders off the land (Narain, 2014).

Institutional Fragmentation and the Census Town Dilemma:

Peri-urban settlements operate in an administrative void between under-funded Gram Panchayats and technocratic parastatal development authorities. Over 3,800 Census Towns across India remain classified as rural villages because local political elites resist municipal conversion to preserve access to rural central welfare funding (such as MGNREGA) and evade municipal

Table 2: Decadal Land Use / Land Cover (LULC) Change Matrix Across Sample Peri-Urban Buffer (2002–2022)

LULC Classification Category	Area 2002 (km²)	Share 2002 (%)	Area 2012 (km²)	Share 2012 (%)	Area 2022 (km²)	Share 2022 (%)	Net Absolute Change (km²)	Relative Change (%)	Annual Rate of Change (%)
Built-Up Fabric (Residential/Commercial)	137.7	16.2	258.4	30.4	423.3	49.8	+285.6	+207.4	+5.81
Agricultural Cropland (Irrigated/Rainfed)	496.4	58.4	362.1	42.6	221.9	26.1	-274.5	-55.3	-3.95
Village Commons / Pastures / Open Scrub	124.1	14.6	141.9	16.7	119.0	14.0	-5.1	-4.1	-0.21
Water Bodies / Wetlands / Irrigation Tanks	57.8	6.8	45.9	5.4	28.1	3.3	-29.7	-51.4	-3.55
Industrial Estates and Logistics Corridors	34.0	4.0	41.7	4.9	57.7	6.8	+23.7	+69.7	+2.68
Total Classified Territory	850.0	100.0	850.0	100.0	850.0	100.0	0.0	—	—

Note: Supervised Maximum Likelihood Classification derived from Landsat-7 (2002), Landsat-8 (2012), and Sentinel-2 (2022) satellite datasets. Overall classification accuracy = 89.4%, Kappa coefficient (κ) = 0.86.

Table 3: Household Water Source, Groundwater Depth, and Economic Burden Across Caste Groups (N = 450)

Resource / Infrastructure Indicator	General Castes (n = 178)	OBC Group (n = 164)	SC/ST Group (n = 108)	Total Sample (N = 450)	Statistical Test Value	p-value
Piped Municipal Water Connection (% Yes)	34.8% (62)	20.1% (33)	9.3% (10)	23.3% (105)	$\chi^2 = 27.84$	$p < 0.001$*
Primary Reliance on Private Tankers (% Yes)	52.8% (94)	68.9% (113)	75.9% (82)	64.2% (289)	$\chi^2 = 18.42$	$p < 0.001$*
Mean Borewell Depth at Homestead (Meters ± SD)	214.5 ± 42.1	178.2 ± 38.5	86.4 ± 24.2	170.6 ± 54.8	F = 84.15	$p < 0.001$*
Functional Private Borewell Ownership (%)	61.2% (109)	32.9% (54)	6.5% (7)	37.8% (170)	$\chi^2 = 88.76$	$p < 0.001$*
Reported Borewell Failure / Drying Rate (%)	44.9% (49)	57.4% (31)	71.4% (5)	49.4% (84)	$\chi^2 = 8.12$	$p = 0.017$*
Monthly Household Water Expenditure (INR ± SD)	Rs. 2,840±680	Rs. 2,350±540	Rs. 1,820±410	Rs. 2,416±620	F = 42.31	$p < 0.001$*
Water Expense as % of Total Monthly Income	5.8%	8.3%	11.2%	9.4%	F = 68.74	$p < 0.001$*
Hours Spent Daily Securing Water (Women)	0.8 ± 0.3 hrs	2.1 ± 0.6 hrs	3.4 ± 0.9 hrs	1.9 ± 1.1 hrs	F = 112.4	$p < 0.001$*

Note: Chi-square (χ^2) tests conducted for categorical proportions; one-way ANOVA (F-statistic) conducted for continuous variables.
*Significant at $p < 0.05$ level.

property taxation and building controls (Pradhan, 2013; Mukhopadhyay *et al.,* 2020).

Caste Stratification and Gender Disparities:

The commodification of peripheral land reinforces historical caste inequality. Dominant agrarian castes capture real estate windfalls and rentier incomes, while Dalits and agricultural laborers lose commons access (*charagah*) without compensation. Concurrently, women bear the heaviest burden of domestic water collection while remaining excluded from male-dominated property compensation registries (Agarwal, 2010; Tacoli, 2012).

Conclusion:

Peri-urbanization in India is not an orderly transitional phase, but an enduring, contested territorial condition. This empirical investigation has quantified the scale of spatial transformation, documenting a 55.3% loss of cropland, a 51.4% contraction of water bodies, and severe groundwater depletion over two decades. Socio-economically, over 64% of peri-urban residents depend on informal water tankers, with the urban poor spending up to 11.2% of their monthly income on water.

To address this crisis, policy reforms must: (1) activate statutory Metropolitan Planning Committees (MPCs) under the 74th Amendment to integrate peri-urban land use; (2) establish intermediate "Rurban" town management authorities for Census Towns; (3) legally protect hydrological commons and aquifer recharge zones; and (4) institute gender-equitable land pooling schemes.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, B. (2010). *Gender and Green Governance*. Oxford University Press, New Delhi.

Denis, E., Mukhopadhyay, P. and Zérah, M. H. (2012). Subaltern urbanization in India. *Economic & Political Weekly*, **47**(30) : 52–62.

Gururani, S. (2020). Cities in a world of villages: agrarian urbanism and the making of India's urban margins. *Urban Geography*, **41**(7) : 971–989.

Harvey, D. (1982). *The Limits to Capital*. Basil Blackwell, Oxford.

Kundu, A. (2011). Trends and processes of urbanisation in India. *IIED Working Paper*, London.

Levien, M. (2012). The land question: special economic zones and dispossession in India. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, **39**(3–4) : 933–969.

Mukhopadhyay, P., Zérah, M. H., & Denis, E. (2020). *Subaltern Urbanisation in India*. Springer, Singapore.

Narain, V. (2014). Peri-urban water security of a growing megacity. *Water International*, **39**(5) : 629–645.

Narain, V. and Nischal, S. (2007). The peri-urban interface in Shahpur Khurd and Karnera, India. *Environment & Urbanization*, **19**(1), 261–273.

Pradhan, K. C. (2013). Unacknowledged urbanisation: new census towns of India. *Economic & Political Weekly*, **48**(36) : 43–51.

RGI. (2011). *Census of India 2011: Provisional Population Totals*. Registrar General of India, New Delhi.

Shaw, A. (2005). Peri-urban interface of Indian cities: growth, governance and local initiatives. *Economic & Political Weekly*, **40**(2) : 129–136.

Tacoli, C. (2012). Urbanization, gender and urban poverty. *IIED Working Paper*, London.

UN DESA (2018). *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*. United Nations, New York.

****